1	Nuclear Decommissioning Citizen's Advisory Panel (NDCAP)
2	Thursday, March 26, 2015
3	Brattleboro Union High School, Multipurpose Room, Brattleboro VT
4	Meeting Minutes – Approved by Panel
5	NDCAP Members Present:
6	 Christopher Recchia, Commissioner of Public Service, ex officio
7	 Chris Campany, Executive Director of the Windham Regional Commission (WRC)
8	 David Mears, Commissioner of Environmental Conservation, designee for the Secretary
9	of Natural Resources
10	 Dr. William Irwin, designee for the Secretary of Human Services
11	 Pat Moulton, Secretary of Commerce and Community Development, ex officio
12	 Stephen Skibniowsky, representing the Town of Vernon
13	 Kate O'Connor (Brattleboro), citizen appointee of Governor Shumlin
14	 Martin Langeveld (Vernon), citizen appointee of Governor Shumlin
15	 James Matteau (Westminster), citizen appointee of Senate President Pro Tempore John
16	Campbell
17	 Derrik Jordan (Putney), citizen appointee of Speaker of the House Shap Smith
18	 Christopher J. Wamser, Site Vice-President, Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee (VY)
19	 T. Michael Twomey, Vice-President External Affairs, Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee
20	 David Andrews, International Brotherhood of Electric Workers (IBEW); representing
21	present & former employees of Vermont Yankee
22	 James Tonkovich (of Wilder), citizen appointee of Senate President Pro Tempore John
23	Campbell
24	 MA State Representative Paul W. Mark (Peru, MA), representing the Towns of
25	Bernardston, Colrain, Gill, Greenfield, Leyden, Northfield, and Warwick, Massachusetts
26	 NH State Senator Molly Kelly (Keene, NH), representing the Towns of Chesterfield,
27	Hinsdale, Richmond, Swanzey, and Winchester, New Hampshire. (arrived late).
28	
29	No NDCAP members were connected to the meeting via teleconference (GoToMeeting.com)
30	
31	The following NDCAP members were absent from the meeting:
32	 VT State Senator Mark MacDonald, member of the Senate Committee on Natural
33	Resources and Energy
34	 VT State Representative Michael Hebert, member of the House Committee on Natural
35	Resources and Energy
36	 VT State Representative David Deen (Westminster), citizen appointee of Speaker of the
37	House Shap Smith
38	
39	Meeting called to order at 6:01 pm
40	
41	APPROVE MINUTES FROM FEBRUARY 26, 2015 MEETING
42	There were no comments or questions.

- 1 Motion by Chris Campany to approve the minutes from February 26, 2015. Second by: Pat
- 2 **Moulton. Motion carried 15-0.** (Aye: Christopher Recchia, Chris Campany, David Mears, Dr. William
- 3 Irwin, Pat Moulton, Stephen Skibniowsky, Kate O'Connor, Martin Langeveld, James Matteau, Derrik
- 4 Jordan, Chris Wamser, T. Michael Twomey, David Andrews, James Tonkovich, Paul Mark. Absent: Molly
- 5 Kelly)

6 7

- REVIEW AND APPROVE 2014 ANNUAL REPORT TO THE VERMONT LEGISLATURE
- 8 Chair reviewed the changes made to pages 4, 5, 6 and 9 during the February 26, 2015 meeting
- 9 and detailed in the previously accepted minutes.
- 10 Dr. Bill Irwin confirmed that the charter is an attachment to the report and noted two typos for
- correction prior to submission. Page 12: Part D, take out the "to". Part G, first bullet should be
- "Public Service Department."
- 13 Motion by Chris Recchia to approve the 2014 Annual Report to the Legislature. Second by:
- 14 Steve Skibniowsky. Motion carried 15-0. (Aye: Christopher Recchia, Chris Campany, David Mears,
- 15 Dr. William Irwin, Pat Moulton, Stephen Skibniowsky, Kate O'Connor, Martin Langeveld, James Matteau,
- Derrik Jordan, Chris Wamser, T. Michael Twomey, David Andrews, James Tonkovich, Paul Mark. Absent:
- 17 Molly Kelly)

18 19

- **UPDATE ON FEBRUARY 26, 2015 ACTION ITEMS**
- 20 Chair gave an update that the Website is forthcoming. The development is following the guidelines of
- 21 the State of Vermont. The issues committee met will give an update. The chair circulated a survey on
- possible dates for the next few meetings. The results will be discussed along with the issues committee
- 23 update.

- 25 **ISSUES COMMITTEE UPDATE**
- David Andrews reported that the issues committee met March 6, at noon in the Windham
- 27 Regional Commission conference room. The meeting was attended by Chris Campany, Kate
- 28 O'Connor, Martin Langeveld and Jim Matteau with Dr. Irwin via teleconference.
- 29 Roundtable discussion determined that future NDCAP meetings should have a common
- 30 repeatable structure containing five key elements (in no particular order): 1- Update by
- 31 Entergy; 2- Update by the State of Vermont Officials; 3- Public comment; 4- Educational
- 32 segment; 5- Issues based segment. (The educational segment and the issue segment may
- 33 overlap.) The committee invited state agencies and panelists to explain their roles,
- responsibilities and interests at this meeting. The committee is exploring a possible field trip to
- 35 CT Yankee and the Millstone 1 SAFSTOR facility. Issues committee determined educational
- 36 segment for the May meeting should be unanticipated decommissioning related issues -
- 37 referencing lessons learned during previous decommissionings. The issues segment should be
- decommissioning trust fund structure and uses. The issues committee plans to reconvene just
- 39 after the May meeting to select the next set of topics based on board input and emergent
- 40 issues.
- 41 Questions and comments: Pat Moulton confirmed that the five elements will be standard
- 42 agenda items. Chris Wamser asked about the process of determining who will present each

- segment. The chair confirmed that once the issues committee sets the topic and suggests a
- 2 presenter, the chair will send around notification to the panel so that panelists can weigh in and
- 3 be prepared if they are being asked to present. David Mears complimented the issues
- 4 committee on their approach. Commissioner Recchia noted that certain issues will require
- 5 resources and stated the Department of Public Service is willing to work with the chair and
- 6 provide support.

7 8

- Discussion followed and it was determined that the next two meeting dates will be Thursday,
- 9 May 28th and Thursday, June 25th. There will be no meetings planned for July and August.

10 11

ENTERGY UPDATE ON DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES

- 12 Joe Lynch, Government Affairs Manager, Entergy Vermont Yankee, gave an update on recent
- activities. Since the last update power was removed from select buildings, systems drained,
- security modified and some buildings removed from the site in preparation for long term
- dormancy. Entergy returned answers to the state agencies questions and comments on the
- draft PSDAR. The NRC 90 day PSDAR comment period ended. NRC is reviewing state comments
- and the Entergy submittal. A map of the site with completed and anticipated changes was
- displayed. Building removal is being done with state agency review and permitting. Entergy will
- respond to a March 6th ANR letter requesting additional information on non-radiological
- 20 contamination. Trespassing on the VY site is strictly prohibited. Continuing local outreach
- 21 includes coordinating with Rep. Paul Mark for a public meeting in Greenfield in April and
- several site tours. NDCAP panelist tours will be set up in April. Updated information available
- 23 on vydecommissioning.com.

- 25 Questions and comments: Pat Moulton asked for clarification on the recent trespassing
- 26 incidents. Answer: Front gate is no longer manned, but signage has been added and guards
- 27 continue to monitor who drives onsite. Driving past the front gate uninvited is trespassing in
- the first of four security zones.
- 29 Chris Recchia asked where the material from the rubblized onsite storage container pad is.
- 30 Answer: Onsite, subject to radiological examination and characterization. Entergy will share
- 31 with the Department of Public Service the final disposition of that material. Chris Recchia
- 32 followed up by asking if the characterization will include any non-radiological contaminants.
- 33 Answer: Entergy will follow-up on what the characterization of the concrete includes.
- 34 Derrik Jordan asked if any of the buildings removed contained any radioactive materials.
- 35 Answer: Nothing of consequence. They all went through a characterization to be released as
- 36 normal waste.
- 37 Chris Campany asked if non-radiological materials are going into a landfill. Answer: All material
- 38 was removed, re-cycled, or re-purposed by local contractors who have their own waste
- 39 streams.
- 40 Jim Matteau asked if there is a clearer map that could be circulated to the panel. Answer:
- 41 Entergy will work with the chair to distribute a map with a legend.

Chris Wamser asked if the map was included in the Site Assessment Study. Answer: Yes, there is a similar map in the SAS with less detail on the timeframes for demolition.

STATE OF VERMONT UPDATE ON DECOMMISSIONG ACTIVITIES

Anthony Leshinskie, Vermont State Nuclear Engineer introduced himself and explained that he works for the Department of Public Service. His role is to provide and interpret information from the NRC and Entergy to the Public Service Department, Public Service Board and state agencies. His office is inside the protected area at Vermont Yankee. He has observed demolition and separation of materials and notes radiological evaluation of debris has been done and expects the results will be shared. He stated disposal of rubblized material is waiting final NRC review. He recently reviewed a submittal of technical specification changes, shadowed an NRC inspection of the spent fuel pool cooling system and participated in an emergency planning drill preparing for the FEMA graded exercise in May.

JAY TARZIA PRESENTATION ON DRY CASK STORAGE

Jay Tarzia, Certified Health Physicists, Principal of Radiation Safety and Control Services and Chairman of the New Hampshire State Radiation Advisory Committee. Diane Becker, Chief of Technological Hazards, New Hampshire Emergency Management introduced Mr. Tarzia. Tarzia gave a general overview of the characteristics of dry fuel storage. Casks became necessary when the United States stopped fuel re-processing. Fuel remains distributed throughout the country; no centralized storage facility. Casks were put in service in 1986 - designed to house used nuclear fuel for long term storage. General characteristics include very thick steel canisters, encapsulated in a welded system sitting in a sealed outer storage container; radiation shielding with heat from radioactive decay vented through the outer canister. All casks meet specific design criteria including resisting tip over, natural disasters, projectiles, temperature extremes and hostile actions. Casks are initially licensed by the NRC for 20 years, can be licensed for up to 100 years with all extensions. Multiple cask design options are available from four companies in the US; different configurations depend on site characteristics. No known sabotage, no known leaks and regular testing confirms casks function safely.

Full presentation can be found at http://vydecommissioning.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/2015-0326-VY-DCAP-Dry-Cask-Storage-Tarzia.pdf

- <u>Questions and Comments:</u> Chris Campany asked if RSCS is overseeing Connecticut Yankee,
- Maine Yankee, Yankee Rowe dry casks. Answer: Yes, RSCS does periodic radiation audits and surveys for them. Follow up, what kind of buffer zone is required? Buffer zone is dependent of
- surveys for them. Follow up, what kind of buffer zone is required? Buffer zone is dependent on
- 37 radiation exposure and security not a consistent value.
- Pat Moulton asked what type of casks are in Vernon. Answer: Vermont Yankee has the Holtec
- 39 Highstorm 100, vertical cask licensed in 2000.
- 40 Jim Matteau asked about the graph showing radioactivity decreasing to 1% over the first 100
- 41 years. Answer: It takes approximately 100 years to decrease to 1%. Graph is from Nuclear
- 42 Energy Agency.

- David Andrews commented that the graph is total radioactivity overtime not decay heat,
- 2 adding that as the short lived fission products decay off and the decay heat drops off, so does
- 3 the dose.
- 4 Dr. Irwin commented that the horizontal line on the graph is not a safe level of radiation. Asked
- 5 how many dry cask systems are the bunker or re-enforced concrete type v. open air type that
- 6 appear to be less expensive? Answer: Bunker storage is not necessarily less expensive, depends
- 7 on the type of canister, fuel, soil and groundwater conditions. No exact numbers, more vertical
- 8 than horizontal systems in use. Dr. Irwin asked about high burnup fuel. Answer: Type of fuel
- 9 determines the storage system needed. Magnastore systems are designed to handle higher
- 10 levels of decay heat. Another solution could be fewer elements of high burnup fuel per cask.
- 11 Martin Langeveld asked about long distance transportation. Answer: Early designs will have to
- be re-packaged since the 1990's licensed system must be transportable.
- 13 Pat Moulton asked if the NRC is studying/planning for longer term storage. Answer: Yes, using
- 14 computer models and metallurgy data from early reactor vessels.
- 15 Jim Tonkovich asked about expensive model vs. a cheap model of cask. Answer: Pricing goes
- out to bid and depends on multiple factors including the site, type and amount of fuel.

17 18

PUBLIC COMMENT AND QUESTIONS ON JAY TARZIA PRESENTATION

- 19 Clay Turnbull questioned that the industry average thickness of the canisters is 3-4 inches.
- 20 Nearest he can find the canisters at Vermont Yankee are 1/2-5/8" thick. He will submit
- 21 additional questions and comments via email.
- 22 Howard Shaffer commented there is a facility in Texas that intends to apply for a license to
- 23 become the national dry fuel storage site.
- 24 Due to limited time for public comment chair explains that by putting your name on the sign in
- sheet you will get an email inviting you to submit questions and comments to the panel.

26 27

28

PRESENTATION BY STATE OF VERMONT DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES ROLE IN

DECOMMISSIONING

- 29 David Mears, Commissioner of the VT Department of Environmental Conservation, noted that
- 30 the Agency of Commerce and Community Development, Department of Emergency
- 31 Management and Homeland Security have been meeting monthly and working with the
- 32 Department of Health.

33 34

35

36

His department focuses on non-radiological contamination such as PCBs lead paint, standard industrial contaminants, storm water, wastewater permitting and plays a regulatory role in petroleum storage. Regulatory jurisdiction over "hazardous waste" defined at the federal level through the Resource Conservation Recovery Act with supervision oversight of the EPA.

- 39 Dr. William Irwin, designee for the Secretary of Human Services, explained that the Health
- 40 Department has been evaluating the health effects of hosting nuclear power, conducting
- 41 radiological and environmental monitoring and a companion emergency response program
- 42 funded for 42 years through the Emergency Management budget, submitted through the
- 43 legislature. Environmental surveillance by the state should continue until the site is released for

unrestricted use. Current radiological emergency preparedness should be maintained until all fuel is in dry storage - some level beyond basic firefighting and emergency medical response is necessary until the site is completely decontaminated. No funding will be available after April 2016.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

3

1 2

Christopher Recchia, Commissioner of Public Service, emphasized the outstanding coordination between the Agency of Natural Resources; Department of Health; Attorney General's office; Department of Emergency Management and Homeland Security with the Public Service Department taking the lead role as public advocate and designated state nuclear liaison with the NRC. The Public Service Department was actively involved in negotiating with Entergy, along with the Governor's office, the agreement that the panel is working under. The department will review, with the Public Service Board, the second dry cask storage permit. The department is seeking to coordinate with Entergy on the many filings with the NRC including emergency planning zone, site fence line, decommissioning trust fund and data feed for emergency response. The work is funded through the electric generation tax, currently supporting NDCAP, Tony Leshinskie and other staff.

16 17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Questions and Comments: Pat Moulton from the Agency of Commerce and Community Development commented the agency has no specific role in decommissioning but is focused on the economic recovery of the area, specifically administering the Windham County Economic Development Program, the money provided by Entergy through the agreement with the State. Chair asked the Health Department and Department of Environmental Conservation to clarify their oversight capacity and when their roles will end. Dr. Irwin answered that the NRC does not provide regulations or support for what the Department of Health does, therefore, funding will end April 2016. Commissioner Mears described the ultimate goal is to fully characterize all the risks and minimize them for the health and safety of the public. It is challenging to exercise authority without crossing into federal pre-emption. Department of Environmental Conservation will seek oversight until all contaminants are removed under the authority of State laws and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. Chris Campany commented that the Windham Regional Commission is funded through the State as the host region for the plant. Feels the federal regulatory process is flawed as the region must rely on the State's participation in the NRC process and the State must rely on the NRC to do their job.

NRC to do their jobDave Andrews aske

Dave Andrews asked how other companies asking for a Certificate of Public Good (CPG) in Vermont are billed. Commissioner Recchia and Commissioner Mears answered normally costs of the CPG process can be billed back to companies along with costs for outside experts and ongoing interactions with a CPG holder. Recchia noted that the state is sensitive about Entergy withdrawing from the decommissioning trust fund to cover these costs. Regulatory costs are generally covered by fees, tax dollars and/or federal grants. Bill back is used to fill gaps due to complexity of the process and the unpredictability of the resources required. Chris Wamser questioned the need for additional resources given the expected decreasing

activity at the site. Commissioner Mears answered that this is a challenge because the current phase of activity is different from the last 42 years.

2	PUBLIC COMMENT AND QUESTIONS ON STATE OF VERMONT PRESENTATION
3	Seeing no questions for the State the chair confirmed that Jay Tarzia could answer more
4	questions from the public.
5	Leslie Sullivan Sachs asked about storing high burnup fuel in other than Magnastor canisters.
6 7	Jay did not have that information on hand. He circled back to the question asked earlier by Clay Turnbull in order to clarify that some canisters have thinner liners with multiple layers of over
8	pack. Three to four inches is an average thickness, apologized for confusion. Leslie followed up
9	by asking if casks designs and loading processes have changed based on experience/computer
10	models since 1986 and more fuel in pools. Answer: Yes to both. Noted that metal degradation
11	data used to design casks goes back to the 1950s and many fuel pool racks have been re-
12	designed to hold more fuel.
13	Sally Shaw, Gill MA asked about converting the units of measure on the chart in the
14	presentation and encouraged the committee to look into what type of casks were used at
15	Fukushima and challenged the statement that no cask leaks have been documented.
16	
17	NH STATE SENATOR MOLLY KELLY announced that she cannot continue as the representative
18	from New Hampshire due to her schedule. She has a letter from the Governor of New
19	Hampshire naming Diane Becker, Chief of Technological Hazards, New Hampshire Emergency
20	Management and Homeland Security as her successor.
21	
22	WRAP UP AND ADJOURN
23	Next meeting: Thursday, May 28, 2015
24	
25	MEETING ADJOURNED AT 9:00pm
26	
27	Action Items:
28	Next two meetings are May 28 th and June 25 th
29	May 28 th Meeting's education and issues segments will be Unanticipated Issues and
30	Decommissioning Trust Fund Structure/Use
31	Panel will continue setting up a website
32	The sign in sheet will be used by the chair to collect questions and comments
33	
34	
35	NOTE: Video of meeting will be available at brattleborotv.org